

Street, and a street of the day, as a state of the filler of the

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/700,447	02/06/2001	Moe K. Barani	130815,90026	3721
759	04/23/2003			
Michael J McGovern			EXAMINER	
	nsin Avenue Suite 2040		NGUYEN, TRAN N	
Milwaukee, WI	33202-449/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/700,447	BARANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this areas in the	Tran N. Nguyen	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 February 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims A) Claim(a) 12 22 in/ora panding in the application	_					
 4) Claim(s) 13-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 23 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) $oxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>01 April 2002</u> is: a) $oxtimes$ approved b) $oxtimes$ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Sumn 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152) achment				

Docket No.: 130815.90026

Art Unit: 2834

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the PCT file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13 and 16-17 (read as depending from claim 13) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grosjean (US 1868825) in view of Syverson (US 5918728, hereafter Syverson' 728).

Grosjean discloses a roller having a first end and a second end, the roller comprising: a cylindrical rotor disposed inside of and mounted to rotate with said cylindrical roller around the stationary shaft; wherein, as shown in figs 1-2, the motor has a motor cylindrical case covering the rotor, the cylindrical metal rotor housing, is disposed inside of and secured to the roller to rotate with the roller; wherein the motor is supported by two spaced apart bearings (7) which space the rotor from the stator with an air gap therebetween.

Docket No.: 130815.90026 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Grosjean substantially discloses the claimed invention, except for the motor is a brushless d.c. motor with a rotor is formed of a plurality of longitudinal segments of permanent magnetic material, wherein the segments of magnets oriented with north-south magnetic polarities in radial direction. Grosjean's Figures 1-2 appears to show the rotor with permanent magnets. However, Grosjean silences about the component of the rotor, i.e., the rotor is formed of permanent magnet or core and winding.

Syverson' 728, however, teaches a roller motor, which is a brushless d.c. motor, having a rotor with a plurality of longitudinal segments of permanent magnetic material, wherein the segments of magnets oriented with north-south magnetic polarities in radial direction. Those skilled in the art would realize that brushless dc motors, with permanent magnet rotors, are well known in the art. Brushless dc motors being used in motor rollers are a known industrial application of the brushless dc motors. Furthermore, the Syverson's motor with permanent magnet rotors would ensure reliability of the motor because permanent magnets are known to provide a constant and reliable source of magnetic fields.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Grosjean roller motor by embodying the rotor with a plurality of longitudinal segments of permanent magnetic material, wherein the segments of magnets oriented with north-south magnetic polarities in radial direction, as taught by Syverson. Doing so would ensure the reliability of the roller

Docket No.: 130815.90026 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

A STATE OF THE STA

because the rotor is provided with permanent magnets, which is known to provide a constant and reliable source of magnetic fields.

4. Claims 13, 15-17 (read as depending from claim 13) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Syverson (US 5918728, hereafter Syverson' 728) in view of Grosjean (US 1868825).

Syverson' 728 substantially discloses the claimed invention having a stator; a rotor; and two spaced apart bearings (30, 32) at two ends of the rollers, wherein the bearings spaced the rotor and the stator apart at an airgap (see the figure and cols 3-4) and support the shaft. Syverson only differs from the claimed invention in one respect that is a cylindrical metal housing forming a part of the rotor for receiving the permanent magnets (P.Ms) and rotating with the roller.

Grosjean, however, discloses a roller with motor comprising rotor having a metal housing (figs 1-2), wherein the rotor metal housing is disposed inside and rotatably secured to the roller.

The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). In this instant case, those skilled in the art would realize that the importance of Grosjean's teaching of a roller having a motor with

Docket No.: 130815.90026

Art Unit: 2834

man and the state of the state

rotor metal housing for mechanically supporting the rotor so that the rotor rotates the roller.

Hence, the rotor assembly with a rotor housing would be improved the mechanical structure of

the roller.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the Syverson' 728 roller motor by providing a metal housing forming a part of

the rotor for receiving the permanent magnets and supporting the shaft and the stator, as taught

by Grosjean. Doing so would provide a means to mechanically support the PM segments while

enabling the rotor to rotate the roller.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Syverson (US

6244427, hereafter Syverson'427) and Grosjean.

Syverson'427, which is a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 09/294232 filed

Apr. 19, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 08/931593 filed Sep. 16,

1997, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,918,728 (herein Syverson'728).

Syverson'427 substantially discloses the claimed invention, particularly for the

limitations of the motor assembly having a third bearing that is disposed beyond the second

bearings and proximate the second end of the roller (fig 8). Syverson'427 does not disclose

a cylindrical metal housing forming a part of the rotor for receiving the permanent magnets

(P.Ms) and rotating with the roller.

Page 5

Docket No.: 130815.90026

Art Unit: 2834

Grosjean, however, discloses a roller with motor comprising rotor having a metal housing

(figs 1-2), wherein the rotor metal housing is disposed inside and rotatably secured to the roller.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the Syverson' 427 roller motor by providing a metal housing forming a part of

the rotor for receiving the permanent magnets and supporting the shaft and the stator, as taught

by Grosjean. Doing so would provide a means to mechanically support the PM segments while

enabling the rotor to rotate the roller.

Claims 18-19(read as depending from claim 13) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Syverson'728 and Grosjean, as applied in the rejections, in sections 3-4

herein, against the base claims, and further in view of level of ordinary skills of a worker in the

art and Fujitani et al (US 5834866).

The combination of Syverson'728 and Grosjean refs discloses the claimed invention,

except for the limitations of the stator coil being configure with a number of turns and the coil's

wire is selected with a gauge to produce 10RMS volts per 100 RPM for an applied stator voltage

of 24 RMS volts per phase, and each stator coil encircles a single stator tooth.

Regarding a selection of the wire's gauge size and a number of turns of the wire to form a

stator coil so that the stator coil would produce 10RMS volts per 100 RPM for an applied stator

voltage of 24 RMS volts per phase, this is a matter of obvious engineering design choice because

of the following:

Page 6

Docket No.: 130815.90026

Art Unit: 2834

Page 7

Syverson discusses about the gauge size of the wire and the speed of the motor (col 4, lines 1-58) at various size of the wire gauge and various coil turns of stator's windings. Thus, those skilled in the art would understand that, by applying the Syverson's disclosure, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stator of the Syverson's motor by selecting an appropriate wire's gauge size and determine an appropriate number of coil turns in order to obtain a workable range between the ratio of the voltage to the speed of the motor. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges, in this case the range of number of turns of the windings and the range of the wire gauge size, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding each stator coil encircle a single stator tooth, **Fujitani** discloses a stator having each stator coil concentrately wound around each stator pole in an encircled manner for obtaining high magnetic efficiency for the motor. Concentrate winding by encircling coil around each magnetic pole is well known in the art (see cited refs).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Syverson's roller motor by configuring the stator winding as concentrate winding each coil per stator pole, as taught by Fujitani. Doing so would obtain high magnetic efficiency for the motor.

Docket No.: 130815.90026

Art Unit: 2834

Page 8

7. Claims 20-22 (wherein claim 20 is as depending from claim 13) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Syverson'728 and Grosjean, as applied in the rejections against the base claim, and further in view of Von Der Heide (US 4882511).

Syverson'728 particularly discloses the motor is a brushless motor, i.e., a motor having a driving circuit that is a circuit electronically commutates current to the stator coil. However, Syverson does not disclose the electronic controller circuit with position sensors. Thus, the combination of Syverso'728 and Grosjean refs discloses the claimed invention, except for the limitations of the sensor having three Hall effect devices mounted on a circuit board with electronic circuit controller for controlling commutation of current to the stator.

Von Der Heide, however, teaches a brushless motor having an electronic controller including three position sensors (42-44) disposed on a circuit board located within a motor housing (figs 2-3) for providing a motor with improving torque constancy. The Examiner also takes Official Notice that position sensor is a well-known component in dynamoelectric machinery art.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Syverson'728 roller motor by providing a position sensor having three Hall effect devices mounted on a circuit board with electronic circuit controller for controlling commutation of current to the stator, as taught by Von Der Heide. Doing so would provide the

Docket No.: 130815.90026

Page 9

Art Unit: 2834

motor with a detection means for positioning the rotor for improving torque constancy of the motor.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tran Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1639.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431 (32).

TRAN NGUYEN

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

TC-2800